

AREA PRESCRIBING COMMITTEE – Birmingham, Sandwell, Solihull and environs

Decision Making Support Tool

The following document supports the committee to consider formulary applications against defined criteria.

| Formulary application reference: | | APCBSSE/0045 |
|---|---|--|
| Drug name and formulations: | | Riluzole oral suspension 5mg/ml (Teglutik®) |
| Criteria | Example | Committee Consensus |
| Patient Safety | <i>Potential for abuse, toxicity, significant drug interactions</i> | No established evidence of increased patient safety with liquid formulation; risk of aspiration may still be present. |
| Clinical effectiveness | <i>Established licensed product</i> | Similar to tablet form. There is no evidence that Teglutik® exerts a therapeutic effect on motor function, lung function, fasciculations, muscle strength and motor symptoms. It has not been shown to be effective in the late stages of ALS. |
| Strength of evidence | | Modest |
| Cost effectiveness or resource impact | £ | Considerable resource impact (£144,000 a year based on 60 patients initiated on oral suspension). £200 per patient per month compared to £15 a month for oral tablet. |
| Place of therapy relative to available treatments | <i>1/2nd tier</i> | Only licensed drug for this condition. Second line to tablet form. |
| National guidance and priorities | <i>NICE, MTRAC</i> | NICE TA20 (2001), based on tablet formulation. NICE NG 42 (Feb 2016): MND |
| Local health priorities | <i>CCG views</i> | Would not support in view of resource impact |
| Equity of access | <i>Equality assessment</i> | N/A |
| Stakeholder views | <i>Define wider groups to be engaged</i> | N/A |
| Implementation requirements | <i>Requires, RICAD ESCA etc.</i> | Would require ESCA if approved |
| | | |

Decision Summary

| | |
|---|--|
| Resubmission is recommended to complete the information to enable a decision: | |
| Not approved and rationale: | Not approved. <u>Rationale:</u> very expensive medicine; the |

| | |
|--------------------------------------|--|
| | committee was not convinced by the argument put forward for the patient group identified for this formulation (i.e. NG/PEG feeding tubes), as the NEWT guidelines support crushing of tablets. |
| Formulary status (RAG) and rationale | |
| Implementation requirements: | |
| Implementation monitoring: | |